28

6

13

1617

18 19

2021

22

2324

2526

27

28

- I received via email on February 14, 2018 an email from Michael Tankersley with a document entitled "FTC v OMICS_5th Request for Admissions" containing an additional 116 separate requests.
- 5. During this time, myself and my firm have had numerous communications with Defendants via email and phone conference to discuss initially the requests, and thereafter many questions and clarifications posed by Defendants.
- 6. Plaintiff scheduled the deposition of Defendant Srinubabu Gedela for March 13, 2018 to take place via telephone conference wherein Plaintiff's counsel was located in Washington D.C. and Defendant was located in India.
- 7. I travelled from Las Vegas, Nevada to Hyderabad, India for the deposition.
- 8. On March 12, 2018 I provided a letter to Mr. Tankersley and Mr. Ashe addressing the status of each identified issue still outstanding with respect to discovery.
- 9. At the time Plaintiff filed the subject Motion, undersigned counsel had been and continues to work with the Defendants to provide full and detailed responses.
- 10. Plaintiff's counsel is fully aware that all Defendants are located in India, and that language, time zones and geographical barriers have presented significant challenges for all involved.
- 11. Indeed, the deposition of Defendant Srinubabu Gedela highlighted the severity of the challenges involved, as even the Plaintiff's court reporter described the process as follows:

As you are aware, this was a difficult deposition. There are some indiscernible areas where I simply could not make out Dr. Gedela's attempt to speak English. Being on the phone made the process more difficult, as it is easier to interrupt and request a restatement and understand a person when you can see them speak. I am hopeful that Dr. Gedela will make a thorough review of his transcript and correct any errors that I am extremely confident were made. I honestly did the best I could, and have had two other experienced reporters here listen to the audio file and help make the transcript as accurate as possible.

12. Based on the above, Plaintiff's counsel has not met its burden to provide the proper certification required by court rules, as the parties have never reached an impasse.

13. Both Defendants and undersigned counsel have acted in good faith to provide meaningful discovery responses, have not intentionally caused delay, and at all times agreed to provide supplemental responses. I declare under penalty of perjury that the statements made in this declaration are true and correct. Executed this 19th day of March, 2018, in Las Vegas, Nevada. /s/ *D. Neal Tomlinson*D. Neal Tomlinson

Case 2:16-cv-02022-GMN-VCF Document 70-1 Filed 03/19/18 Page 3 of 3